## MOHAMMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Siruseri IT park, OMR, Chennai - 603103

		Siruseri IT park								
			SSON PLAN							
		DEPARTMENT OF M	<u>IECHANICA</u>	ı						
	e of the bject	LEAN SIX SIGMA		Nam handling	Faculty Dr.S.Pra					
Sul	bject Code	OMF751		Yea	ar / Sem IV/VIII					
	Acad Year	2023-2024			Batch 2021-20	atch 2021-2024				
		Cou	ırse Objective							
		out the importance of lean manufacturing and six sigma practices.								
		ll to predict,prevent and control defects in a process.								
		the elements of waste.								
		able quality improvement through process improvement.  of variation in processes.								
i o unde	istaliding C	<del>-</del>	ırse Outcome							
CO1 II	danatan dath		ii se Outcome							
		ne basics of lean six sigma								
		ne tools and techniques used in the analysis.								
		six sigma methodologies.								
CO4 De	monstrate 1	the implementation and challenges in six sigma.								
CO5 Sui	mmarize th	ne evaluation and continuous improvement methods.	esson Plan							
			T/R*	Dow! - J-	Mode of	Dloom - I '				
Sl. No.		Topic(s)	Book	Periods Required	Teaching (BB / PPT / NPTEL	Blooms Level (L1-L6)	CO	PO		
		LINEEL A FAN AND GIV COMA	D. CIZDOU	ID AND EU	/ MOOC / etc )					
1	Historical	UNIT I (LEAN AND SIX SIGMA Overview , Definition of quality	R2	1	BB	L1		PO1		
					BB		CO1			
2		ix sigma ,TQM and Six sigma	R2	1		L1,L2	CO1	PO1		
3		afacturing and six sigma, six sigma and process tolerance	R2	1	BB	L1	CO1	PO1		
4	_	and cultural changes	R2	1	PPT	L1	CO1	PO1		
5		capability	R2	1	PPT	L1	CO1	PO1		
6	six sigma	need assessments	R2	1	PPT	L1	CO1	PO1		
7	Implicatio	ons of quality levels	R2	1	PPT	L2	CO1	PO1		
8	Cost of Po	por Quality (COPQ)	R2	1	BB	L2	CO1	PO2		
9	Cost of Do	oing Nothing ,assessment questions	R2	1	BB	L2	CO1	PO2		
		y: Assignment / Case Studies / Tuorials/ Quiz / Mini Projects /	Model Develo	oped/others I	Planned if any .	Seminar and A	ssignment			
Evaluat	ion metho	d : Assignment and Direct interaction during Tutorials								
		UNIT II (THE SCOPE (	OF TOOLS A	ND TECHNI	(QUES)					
10	Tools for	definition, IPO diagram, SIPOC diagram, Flow diagram	R5	1	BB	L2	CO3	PO1		
		e, Project Charter, Tools for measurement	R5	1	BB	L2	CO3	PO5		
12	Check she	eets, Histograms, Run Charts, Scatter Diagrams, Cause and effect	R5			L1,L2				
	diagram, I	Pareto charts, Control charts, Flow process charts	-	1	BB		CO3	PO5		
13	Process C	apability Measurement, Tools for analysis	R5	1	BB	L1,L2	CO3	PO5		
14		fapping, Regression analysis, RU/CS analysis, SWOT	R5	1	1 BB		CO3	PO5		
		Five Whys, interrelationship diagram, overall equipment less, TRIZ innovative problem solving	R5	1	BB L2		CO3	PO5		
15		improvement ,Affinity diagram, Normal group technique, SMED,	R5	1	PPT	L2	CO3	PO5		
15 16	Tools for				111	1	203	103		
	Tools for i 5S, mistak Forced fie	ke proofing, Value stream Mapping, eld analysis ,Tools for control , Gantt chart, Activity network	R5	1	PPT	L1,L2	CO3	PO5		
16 17	Tools for i 5S, mistak Forced fie diagram	ce proofing, Value stream Mapping, eld analysis ,Tools for control , Gantt chart, Activity network art,PDCA cycle,Milestone tracker bdiagram,earned value			PPT BB	L1,L2	CO3	PO5		

		UNIT III (SIX S	IGMA MET	HODOLOGIES	5)								
19	Design for six sigma (DfSS)		R2	1	BB	L2	T .						
20	Design For Six Sigma Method		R2	1	BB	L1,L2	CO4	PO1-PO3					
	Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA	<u> </u>	R2	1	PPT	L1,L2,L3	CO4	PO1-PO3					
21	, `	)	+				CO4	PO1					
22	FMEA process		R2	1	PPT	L1,L2	CO4	PO1					
23	Risk Priority Number (RPN)		R2	1	BB	L1,L2	CO4	PO1					
24	Six Sigma and Leadership		R2	1	BB	L2	CO4	PO1					
25	Committed leadership		R2	1	PPT	L2,l3	CO4	PO1					
26	Change Acceleration Process (CAP)		R2	1	PPT	L2,L3	CO4	PO1					
27	Developing communication plan, Sta	keholder	R2	1	PPT	L2	CO4	PO1					
	ted Activity: Assignment / Case Studi		s / Model De	veloped/others l	Planned if any	Seminar and A	ssignmen	t .					
Evaluat	tion method: Assignment and Direct	interaction during Tutorials											
	Tools for implementation. Counties In	UNIT IV (SIX SIGMA IMP	LEMENTA	TION AND CHA	ALLENGES)	I	1	T					
28	Tools for implementation , Supplier Ir (SIPOC)	iput Process Output Customer	R5	1	PPT	L2,L3	CO4	PO1-PO2					
29	Quality Function Deployment or House	se of Quality (QFD)	R5	1	PPT	L1,L2	CO4	PO1					
30	Alternative approach, implementation	n, leadership training	R5	1	PPT	L1,L2,L3	CO4	PO1					
31	Close communication system,		R5	1	PPT	L2	CO4	PO1					
32	project selection, project management	nt and team	R5	1	PPT	L1,L2	CO4	PO1					
33	Champion training, customer quality	index , challenges	R5	1	BB	L2,L3	PO1						
34	program failure, CPQ vs six sigma		R5	1	BB	L1,L2	CO4	PO1					
35	structure the deployment of six sigma		R5	1	BB	L2	CO4	PO1					
36	cultural challenge, customer/internal	metrics	R5	1	BB	L2	CO4	PO1					
Suggest	ted Activity: Assignment / Case Studi	ies / Tuorials/ Quiz / Mini Project	s / Model De	veloped/others l	Planned if any	Seminar and A							
Evaluat	tion method : Assignment and Direct												
	1	UNIT V (EVALUATION AND O	CONTINOU	SIMPROVEME	ENT METHODS	5)							
37	Evaluation strategy, the economics of	six sigma quality	R2	1	BB	L2	CO5	PO1					
38	Return on six Sigma (ROSS)		R2	1	BB	L1,L2	CO5	PO1-PO2					
39	ROI, poor project estimates, continuo	us improvement	R2	1	BB	L1,L2	CO5	PO1-PO2					
40	lean manufacturing ,value, customer f	ocus	R2	1	BB	L2	CO5	PO1					
41	Perfection, focus on waste, overprodu	ction, waiting	R2	1	BB	L1,L2	CO5	PO1					
42	Inventory in process (IIP), processing	waste	R2	1	BB	L1,L2	CO5	PO1					
43	Transportation, motion		R2	1	PPT	L2	CO5	PO1					
44	Making defective products, underutili	zing people	R2	1	PPT	L2	CO5	PO1					
45	Kaizen – 5S		R2	1	BB	L1,L2	CO5	PO1					
	ted Activity: Assignment / Case Studi		s / Model De	veloped/others l	Planned if any	Seminar and A							
	tion method : Assignment and Direct t Beyond the Syllabus Planned	interaction during Tutorials											
1	SWIMLANE DIAGRAM,ACTIVITY	DIAGRAM											
2	INTEGRATION OF LEAN MANUF.												
-	Michael I Comes David Davymelde		eference Boo										
2	Michael L.George, David Rownalds, I Thomas Pyzdek, The Six Sigma Hand		.честам — П	111 2003									
3	Fred Soleimannejed , Six Sigma, Basi							4. 6.					
4	Forrest W. Breyfogle, III, James M. Cupello, Becki Meadows, Managing Six Sigma: A Practical Guide to Understanding, Assessing, and Implementing the Strategy That Yields Bottom-Line Success, John Wiley & Sons, 2000												
· •	Yields Bottom-Line Success. John Wi	5 James P. Womack, Daniel T.Jones, Lean Thinking, Free Press Business, 2003											
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ean Thinking, Free Press Business, 20	003										
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		003 ite/URL refe	rences									
5	James P. Womack, Daniel T.Jones, Lo https://www.sixsigmaonline.org/	Webs		erences									
5	James P. Womack, Daniel T.Jones, Lo	Webs s/six-sigma.asp	ite/URL refe										
5	James P. Womack, Daniel T.Jones, Lo https://www.sixsigmaonline.org/	Webs s/six-sigma.asp	ite/URL refe Blooms Leve		lysing		er Order	Projects / Mini					

Level 3 (L3): Applying					Exams	Exams Level 6 (L6): Creating						шкшь	110,000	
				Mappin	g syllabus with Bloom's Taxonom	y LOT a	nd HO	Т						
Un	it No				Unit Name	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6	LOT	HOT	Total
Unit 1 LEAN AND SIX SIGMA BACKROUND AND FUNDAMENTALS						6	4	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
U	nit 2	THE SC	OPE OF T	ΓOOLS A	ND TECHNIQUES	3	9	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
Unit 3 SIX SIGMA METHODOLOGIES					4	9	3	0	0	0	16	0	16	
Unit 4 SIX SIGMA IMPLEMENTATION AND CHALLENGES					4	9	3	0	0	0	16	0	16	
Unit 5 EVALUATION AND CONTINOUSIMPROVEMENT METHODS					5	9	0	0	0	0	14	0	14	
Total						22	40	6	0	0	0	68	0	68
Total Percentage						32.353	58.8	8.8235294	0	0	0	100	0	100
					CC	PO Ma	pping							
	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	PO9	PO10	PO11	PO12	PSO1	PSO2
CO1	1	1											1	
CO2	1				1								1	
CO3	1		1										1	
CO4	1	1											1	
CO5	1	1											1	
Avg	1	1	1		1								1	
			•	-	Justification	on for CC	)-PO n	napping	•		•	•		
CO1	students c	an know	about the	basic kn	owledge of lean six sigma.									
CO2	students c	can identify the problem with the help of using the six sigma tools.												
CO3	students c	can use six sigma methods for reducing the failure.												
CO4	students c	can identify the tools for implementation and challenges.												
CO5	The stude	nts is able	e to impro	ve contir	nous quality improvement in production	n.								
	3	High level 2					Moderate level 1				1	Low level		
	Sign of Fa				th									
			pert: Dr.S	.Prasath										
Name &	Sign of Fa	ıbject Exp	pert: Dr.S	.Prasath	ih ugasundaram M									

Format No :231